Levenberg-Marquardt Method Based Iteration Square Root Cubature Kalman Filter ant its applications
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Abstract—To improve the low state estimation accuracy of nonlinear state estimation due to large initial estimation error and nonlinearity of measurement equation, we obtain Levenberg-Marquardt (abbr. L-M) method based iteration square root cubature Kalman filter (ISRCFKFLM) combining the measurement update of square root cubature Kalman filter (SRCKF) with nonlinear least square error, so the ISRCFKFLM algorithm has the virtues of global convergence and numerical stability. We apply the ISRCFKFLM algorithm to state estimation for re-entry ballistic target; the simulation results demonstrate the ISRCFKFLM algorithm has better accuracy of state estimation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A series of nonlinear filters have been developed to apply to state estimation for the last decades. Up to now the commonly used non-linear filtering is the extended Kalman filter (EKF) [1, 2]. The EKF is based on first-order Taylor approximations of state transition and observation equation about the estimated state trajectory under Gaussian assumption, so EKF may introduce significant bias, or even convergence problems due to the overly crude approximation [3].

Recently, one type of suboptimal nonlinear filters based on numerical multi-dimensional integral were introduced in [4-6], such as cubature rules based cubature Kalman filter (CKF) and the interpolatory cubature Kalman filters (ICKFs), which used numerical multi-dimensional integral to approximate the recursive Bayesian estimation integrals under the Gaussian assumption. The CKF can solve high-dimensional nonlinear filtering problems with minimal computational effort and can be deemed as special case of ICKFs. Furthermore, the stability of CKF for non-linear systems with linear measurement is analyzed and the certain conditions to ensure that the estimation error of the CKF remains bounded are proved in [7]. On the other hand, in order to decrease the effect of initial estimation error and nonlinearity of measurement equation, Levenberg-Marquardt method based iteration cubature Kalman filter was developed on the basis of the CKF in Reference [8]. In fact, singular matrix occurs in the implementation of the above filters mentioned if the initial estimation is selected improperly. So the cubature rule is exploited as square root cubature information filter [9] and the square root cubature Kalman filter (SRCKF) was developed in order to mitigate ill effects and improve the numerical stability [5]. The SRCKF also shows its weakness in the robustness and estimation accuracy. Making use of L-M method and the superiority of the SRCKF algorithm, we obtain the L-M method based iterative square root cubature Kalman filter (ISRCFKFLM), in which, we transform the measurement update of SRCKF to the problem of nonlinear least square error, then use L-M method to solve it and obtain the optimal state estimation and covariance to improve the low state estimation accuracy of nonlinear state estimation due to large initial estimation error and nonlinearity of measurement equation.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We begin in Section 2 with a description of square root cubature Kalman filter (SRCKF). The L-M method based iterative square root cubature Kalman filter (ISRCFKFLM) is developed in Section 3. Then we apply the ISRCFKFLM algorithm to track re-entry ballistic target (RBT) with unknown ballistic coefficient and discuss the simulation results in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

II. L-M BASED ITERATION SQUARE ROOT CUBATURE KALMAN FILTER

Consider the following nonlinear dynamics system:

\[ x_k = f(x_{k-1}) + w_{k-1} \]  

\[ z_k = h(x_k) + v_k \]  

where \( f \) and \( h \) are some known nonlinear functions; \( x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( z_k \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is state and the measurement vector, respectively; \( w_{k-1} \) and \( v_k \) are process and measurement Gaussian noise sequences with zero means and covariance \( Q_{k-1} \) and \( R_k \), respectively, and \( \{w_{k-1}\} \) and \( \{v_k\} \) are mutually uncorrelated.

Suppose that the state distribution at \( k-1 \) time is \( x_{k-1} \sim N(\hat{x}_{k-1}, P_{k-1}) \) . Levenberg-Marquardt based Iteration square root cubature Kalman filter (ISRCFKFLM) is described as follows.

6
(1) Time Update
   1) Calculate the cubature points and propagate the cubature points through the state equation
      \[ X_{i,k-1} = S_{i,k-1} \xi_i + \hat{x}_{k-1}. \] 
      (3)
      \[ X_k^* = f(X_{i,k-1}). \] 
      (4)
   where \( \xi_i = \sqrt{m/2}[1], \omega_i = 1/m, i = 1, \ldots, m = 2n_i \), the \([1]\) is a \( n_i \) dimensional vector and is generated according to the way described in \[2\].
   2) Evaluate the predicted state and square root of the predicted covariance
      \[ \bar{x}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \omega_i X_k^* . \] 
      (5)
      \[ \bar{S}_k = Trf(\bar{X}_k^* S_{Q,k-1}I). \] 
      (6)
   here, \( S_{Q,k-1} \) denotes a square-root factor of \( Q_{k-1} \) and \( Trf() \) is denoted as a general triagularization algorithm. The matrix \( \chi_i \) is defined as:
      \[ \chi_i = 1/\sqrt{m}[X_{i,k}^* - \bar{x}_k X_{z,k}^* - \bar{x}_k \cdots, X_{m,k}^* - \bar{x}_k]. \] 
      (7)
   3) Evaluate the modified covariance:
      \[ \bar{P}_k = [I - \bar{S}_k \bar{S}_k^T \left( \bar{S}_k \bar{S}_k^T + \frac{1}{\mu_i} I \right)^{-1}] \bar{S}_k \bar{S}_k^T. \] 
      (8)
   where \( \mu_i \) is adjusting parameter.

(2) Measurement update
   1) Set the initial value as: \( \hat{x}_k^{(0)} = \bar{x}_k \).
   2) Assuming the i-th iterate \( \hat{x}_k^{(i)} \), calculate the matrix
      \[ L_k^{(i)} = \bar{P}_k J_k^T(\hat{x}_k^{(i)}) \left[ J_k(\hat{x}_k^{(i)}) \bar{P}_k J_k^T(\hat{x}_k^{(i)}) + R_k \right]^{-1}. \] 
      (9)
   3) Calculate the i-th iterate
      \[ \hat{x}_k^{(i+1)} = \bar{x}_k + L_k^{(i)} \{ z_k - h(\hat{x}_k^{(i)}) - J_k(\hat{x}_k^{(i)})(\bar{x}_k - \hat{x}_k^{(i)}) \} - \mu_i \left[ I - L_k^{(i)} J_k(\hat{x}_k^{(i)}) \right] \bar{P}_k (\bar{x}_k - \hat{x}_k^{(i)}). \] 
      (10)
   4) Calculate the iterate termination condition
      \[ \| \hat{x}_k^{(i+1)} - \hat{x}_k^{(i)} \| \leq \varepsilon \ \text{or} \ i = N_{\text{max}}. \] 
      (11)
   \( \varepsilon \) and \( N_{\text{max}} \) are predetermined threshold and maximum iterate number, respectively. If the termination condition meets, the iterate return to 5); otherwise, set \( \hat{x}_k^{(i)} = \hat{x}_k^{(i+1)} \), continue to 2).
   5) Calculate the state estimation at \( k \) time instant
      \[ \hat{x}_k = \hat{x}_k^{(N)}. \] 
      (12)

6) Evaluate the cross-covariance and square root of innovation covariance at \( k \) time
   \[ P_{e_k} = \bar{S}_k \bar{S}_k^T J_k^T(\hat{x}_k^{(N)}). \] 
   (13)
   \[ S_{e_k} = Chol(\left[ J_k(\hat{x}_k^{(N)}) \bar{S}_k S_{S,k} \right]). \] 
   (14)

7) Calculate the square root of covariance at \( k \) time
   \[ K_k = P_{e_k} / S_{e_k}^T / S_{e_k}. \] 
   (15)
   \[ S_k = Chol(\left[ S_k - K_k J_k(\hat{x}_k^{(N)}) \bar{S}_k K_k S_{S,k} \right]). \] 
   (16)
where symbol “/” represents the matrix right divide operator.

III. APPLICATIONS TO STATE ESTIMATION FOR RE-ENTRY BALLISTIC TARGET

To demonstrate the performance of the ISRCKFLM algorithm, we apply the ISRCKFLM to estimate state of re-entry ballistic target with unknown ballistic coefficient and compare its performance against the SRCKF and iterate square root cubature Kalman filter using Gauss-Newton method (ISRCKF) algorithms. All the simulations were done in MATLAB on a ThinkPad PC with an Intel (R) CORE i5 M480 processor with the 2.67GHz clock speed and 3GB physical memory.

In the simulation, the parameters and the initial state estimate are the same as in \[10\]. To demonstrate the performance of the ISRCKFLM algorithm, we use the root-mean square error (RMSE) and average accumulated mean-square root error (AMSRE) in the position, velocity and ballistic coefficient in an interval of 15s-58s. The AMSREs of the three filters in position, velocity and ballistic coefficient are listed in Table. 1. The iteration number selected in the ISRCKFLM and SRCKF algorithms is 4. All performance curves and figures in this subsection were obtained by averaging over 100 independent Monte Carlo runs. All the filters are initialized with the same condition in each run.

From Figure. 1, we can see that the RMSE of ISRCKFLM in position is far less than that of SRCKF algorithm, and is less than that of ISRCKF algorithm. Moreover, the ISRCKFLM needs 14.5 seconds to make the RMSE in position reduce below 500 meters, the ISRCKF...
algorithm needs 34.6 seconds, and SRCKF algorithm needs about 47.6 seconds, so the ISRCKFLM algorithm has faster convergence rate than the SRCKF and ISRCKF algorithms. So the estimates provided by the ISRCKFLM in the position and velocity are markedly better than those of SRCKF and ISRCKF algorithms.

![Figure 1. RMSEs in position for various filters](image1)

![Figure 2. RMSEs in velocity for various filters](image2)

Observe from Figure. 2, the RMSE of ISRCKFLM in velocity is far less than those of SRCKF and ISRCKF algorithm in the interval time (t < 35s), the ISRCKFLM still has faster convergence rate. And the RMSEs of the three filters lie at the lower level in the period (t >35s).

As to the estimation of the ballistic coefficient, in the Figure. 3, the RMSEs of the three filters have less improvement in the interval time (0< t <35s) because of having less effective information about it from the noisy measurement. The RMSEs of the three filters begin to decrease at about \( t = 37s \) because the measurements have the effective information on ballistic coefficient. In the period (35s< t <45s), the RMSE of the ISRCKFLM algorithm for the ballistic coefficient decreases more rapidly than that of SRCKF, and decreases at the same rate as that of ISRCKF. At the period 45s< t < 58s, the RMSE in the ISRCKFLM algorithm decreases most rapidly among the three algorithms.

The ballistic coefficient estimate in the ISRCKFLM algorithm has the great improvement.

![Figure 3. RMSEs in ballistic coefficient for various filters](image3)

TABLE I. AMSREs in position, velocity and ballistic coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>AMSRE(_p) (m)</th>
<th>AMSRE(_v) (m/s)</th>
<th>AMSRE(_\beta) (kg/m(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRCKF</td>
<td>2693.096</td>
<td>306.133</td>
<td>165.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISRCKF</td>
<td>1457.078</td>
<td>250.900</td>
<td>162.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISRCKFLM</td>
<td>856.993</td>
<td>220.296</td>
<td>160.658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Figure.1-Figure. 3, the RMSEs of ISRCKFLM in position and velocity markedly decrease, compared with those of the SRCKF and ISRCKF algorithm. Although the RMSE of ISRCKFLM in ballistic coefficient has less improvement, its RMSE significantly reduces in the last period. So the ISRCKFLM improves the state estimation accuracy of re-entry ballistic target.

From TABLE 1. 1, it is seen that, the ISRCKFLM’s AMSRE in position reduces by about 68%, and its AMSRE in velocity reduces by about 28% compared to SRCKF. And compared to ISRCKF, the AMSRE of ISRCKFLM algorithm in position decreases by about 41%, and its AMSRE in velocity decreases by about 12%. Table.1 shows ISRCKFLM’s AMSRE in ballistic coefficient reduces marginally, but Figure.3 shows the ISRCKFLM’s RMSE is less than the other two filters in the interval of 40s-58s. Hence, the ISRCKFLM is to be preferred over the other filters in the light of AMSREs in the position, velocity and ballistic coefficient and has better performance.

Therefore, on the basis of the simulation results presented in Figure.1-Figure.3 and Table.1, one can draw a conclusion that the ISRCKFLM algorithm yields on the superior performance over the SRCKF and ISRCKF algorithms on state estimation of re-entry ballistic target.

IV. CONCLUSION

The ISRCKFLM algorithm has the advantages of global convergence, fast convergence and numerical stability. The
ISRCKFLM algorithm is applied to state estimation for re-entry ballistic target. Simulation results demonstrate that the performance of ISRCKFLM algorithm is superior to SRCKF and ISRCKF algorithms. So the ISRCKFLM algorithm is much more effective and improves the performance of state estimation to a marked degree.
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